

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 02/21/2002 Andreas N. Dorsel 10971150-2 9857 10/080,641 7590 05/21/2003 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. EXAMINER Legal Department, DL429 WILDER, CYNTHIA B **Intellectual Property Administration** P. O. Box 7599 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Loveland, CO 80837-0599 1637 DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/080,641 Applicant(s)

DORSEL et al.

Examiner

Cynthia B Wilder

Art Unit 1637



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 30, 20	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This acti	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>24-27 and 32-42</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims 24-27 and 32-42	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
,	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Burea	
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P10-152) 6) Other:
31 [] III	omiation disclosure statement(s) (FTO-1445) raper No(s).	of outer.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,641

Art Unit: 437

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Application has been transferred from Examiner BJ Forman of Art Unit 1634 to Examiner Cynthia Wilder of Art Unit 1637. All further correspondence should be directed to Examiner Cynthia Wilder whose contact information appears at the end of this Office Action.
- 2. Applicant's preliminary amendment in Paper No. 2 is acknowledged. Claims 1-23 and 28-41 have been canceled. Claims 24-27 and 32-42 are pending.

Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 24-27, drawn to an addressable array, classified in class 435, subclass
 287.2.
 - II. Claims 32-38, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 435, subclass 283.1.
 - III. Claims 39-41, drawn to a method of interrogating an addressable array, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - IV. Claim 42, drawn to a computer program, classified in class 711, subclass 100.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/080,641

Art Unit: 1637

case, the combination (Apparatus) as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination (Addressable array) as claimed because apparatus does not require the addressable array and can be used an a fluorescent microscope. The subcombination has separate utility such as in hybridization methodologies by differential display.

- 5. Inventions I, II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the products can be used in a materially different process. For example, the addressable array of invention I can be used in hybridization methodologies by differential display and the apparatus of invention II can be used as a fluorescent microscopic screening tool.
- 6. Inventions IV and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different function and different effects. For example, the product of invention I is drawn to an addressable array which is capable of functioning in method of hybridization by differential display to determine gene expression whereas the product of invention IV is drawn to a computer program which functions as a

Application/Control Number: 10/080,641 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

medium to store and analyze data. The different products are patentably distinct requiring different fields of search

- 7. Inventions IV and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. For example, the product of invention II is drawn to an apparatus which is capable of functioning as a fluorescent microscopic tool for screening a sample of interest whereas the product of invention IV is drawn to a computer program which functions as a medium to store and analyze data. The different products are patentably distinct requiring different fields of search.
- 8. Inventions IV and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different function leading to different effects. For example, method of invention III utilizes adjustment of a detection angle to interrogate an addressable array whereas the product of invention III is drawn to a computer program which functions as a medium for storing, analyzing and retrieving data. The different inventions are patentably distinct requiring different fields of search.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,641 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one Group is not required for other Group and because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cynthia Wilder whose telephone number is (703) 305-1680. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm and on Friday from 9:30 am to 1:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached at (703) 308-1119. The official fax phone number for the Group is (703) 308-4242. The unofficial fax number is (703) 308-8724.

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group's receptionist at (703) 308-0196.

cbw

May 19, 2003

Cynthia B. Wilder, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1637